UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,045	01/17/2006	Philip Paul O'Brien	BWT06-01(EMPICS)	3678
58406 7590 04/03/2009 BARRY W. CHAPIN, ESQ. CHAPIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, LLC WESTBOROUGH OFFICE PARK			EXAMINER	
			JACOB, AJITH	
	GH OFFICE PARK ARK DRIVE, SUITE 2	<del>-</del>		PAPER NUMBER
WESTBOROU	WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581		2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/565,045	O'BRIEN, PHILIP PAUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	АЈІТН ЈАСОВ	2161			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2006 is/are:	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	-			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2161

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The instant application having Application No. 10/565045 has a total of 41 claims pending in the application, there are 6 independent claims and 35 dependent claims, all of which are ready for examination by the examiner.

#### Oath/Declaration

2. The applicant's oath/declaration has been reviewed by the examiner and is found to conform to the requirements prescribed in **37 C.F.R. 1.63.** 

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-35 and 40 fall under the judicial exception of an abstract idea, which lacks a useful, concrete, and tangible result. A claimed series of steps or acts that do not result in a useful, concrete, and tangible result are not statutory within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 101. In the instant case, the claims recite computer programs, methods, systems image transmission and sizing. However, no useful, concrete and tangible result is claimed. These claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 and appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2161

5. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tran et al. (US 6,157,935).

For claim 1, Tran et al. teaches:

An image processing system for collecting images of an event and for distributing an image so taken to one or more

clients, the system comprising a terminal associated with a camera, and a first editing facility; wherein

the terminal is configured to receive a master image file corresponding to an image of the event captured by the camera,

to store the master image file, to create a preview image file from the master image file where the preview image file has a smaller file. size than the master image file, and to transmit the preview image file to the first editing facility [computer with storage and editing tools, column 5, lines 31-55]; and

the first editing facility is configured to transmit a preview image file to a client [transfer compressed data to client, column 7, lines 16-25].

For claim 2, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 1, wherein the first editing facility comprising an editing tool operable to facilitate editing an image associated with a preview image file and to amend the associated file in response thereto [editing tools, column 5, lines 31-55].

For claim 3, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim i, wherein the terminal is configured to look in the master image file for data corresponding to a preview image in the master image file and, if such data is found, to extract the data to the preview image file [tools for data analysis, column 5, lines 31-55].

For claim 4, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 3 wherein, if data corresponding to a preview image is not found, the master image is resized and the data corresponding to the resized image is written to the preview image file [compression of data, column 7, lines 16-25].

For claim 5, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim i, wherein the terminal is further configured to transmit the master image file to the first editing facility upon receiving a request from the first editing facility and the first editing facility is configured to facilitate editing a master image associated with a master image file and to amend the associated file in response thereto and to transmit a master image file to a client compression tools, column 7, lines 16-25].

For claim 6, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim I, further comprising a second editing facility configured to facilitate editing an image associated with a preview image file and to amend the associated file in response thereto and to transmit a preview image file to a client and wherein the first editing facility is operable to transmit preview image files to the second editing facility [transmission of compressed image to communication device,

column 7, lines 61-67 - column 8, lines 1-4].

For claim 7, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 6, wherein the first editing facility is further configured to transmit the master image file to the second editing facility upon receiving a request from the second editing facility and/or wherein the terminal is further configured to transmit the master image file to the second editing facility upon receiving a request from the second editing facility, and wherein the second editing station is configured to facilitate editing an image associated with a master image file and to amend the associated file in response thereto and to transmit a master image file to a client [transmission capability of personalized messages received from computer to client, column 9, lines 31-53].

For claim 8, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 6, wherein the second editing facility has an associated archive and wherein the first and/or second editing facilities are configured to send preview images to the archive [first facility storage, column 7, lines 1-15 and second storage, column 10, lines 46-67 – column 11, lines 1-2].

For claim 9, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 6, wherein the first and/or second editing facilities are configured to send master images to the archive [first facility sending image to storage, column 7, lines 1-15 and second storage, column 10, lines 46-67 – column 11, lines 1-2].

For claim 10, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 6, further comprising an output server

through which image files are sent for onward transmission to a client [transmission through network, column 7, lines 16-25].

For claim 11, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 10, wherein the output server is configured to regulate the delivery of image files to one or more clients [transmit by internet, telephone and fax, column 7, lines 16-25].

For claim 12, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 6, wherein either the first or second editing facility is configured to create MMS messages that include preview images [message image, column 7, lines 61-67 – column 8, lines 1-4 and smaller file, column 9, lines 31-34].

For claim 13, Tran et al. teaches:

The photographic processing system of claim 12, wherein the editing facility is configured to receive a file containing text describing an event, to search the text for pre-defined terms, to create a new file containing text and images upon finding a pre-defined term and to transmit the new file to client [data ready for text data processing, column 5, lines 31-55].

For claim 14, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 13, wherein the editing facility is configured automatically to extract text from the incoming text file and to write the text to the new file [text data processor, column 5, lines 31-55].

For claim 15, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 13, wherein the editing facility is

Art Unit: 2161

configured automatically to retrieve an image from an archive and to write the image to the new file [editing tools, column 5, lines 31-55].

For claim 16, Tran et al. teaches:

The image processing system of claim 6, wherein either the first or second editing facility is configured to create http slide shows that include preview images [image to browser in first facility, column 5, lines 31-55].

For claim 17, Tran et al. teaches:

An image processing system for collecting images of an event taken by a camera and for distributing an image so obtained to one or more clients, the system comprising: a terminal and first and second editing facilities [terminal, first and second device through communication, column 7, lines 61-67 – column 8, lines 1-4]; wherein the terminal is operable to receive and store an image of the event as an electronic image file and to transmit the image file to the first editing facility [store and ready to transmit, column 5, lines 16-30]; the first editing facility is local to the event and is configured

- (a) to receive the image file transmitted by the terminal [image reception, column 5, lines 31-55],
- (b) to determine a suitable editing station for displaying the image associated with the image file, and to display the image at the editing station [editing tools, column 5, lines 31-55],
- (c) to facilitate selection of the image displayed at the editing station [display tools, column 5, lines 31-55],

(d) to facilitate selection of an output destination for the selected image file wherein available output destinations include the second editing facility and one or more clients [output capability, column 7, lines 36-60],

Page 8

and

(e) to transmit the selected file to the selected output destination [output to communication device, column 7, lines 36-60]; and

the second editing facility is remote from the event and is configured

- (a) to receive an image file transmitted by the first editing facility [receive image, column
- 7, lines 61-67 column 8, lines 1-4],
- (b) to display the image associated with the image file at an editing station [display at edit, column 10, lines 25-45],
- (c) to facilitate selection of the displayed image [display, column 10, lines 25-45],
- (d) to facilitate editing of the selected image [edit, column 10, lines 25-45],
- (e) to facilitate selection of an output destination for the selected image file, wherein available output destinations include one or more clients [send data, column 9, lines 31-53], and
- (f) to transmit the selected file to the selected output destination [transmit, column 9, lines 31-53].

Claims 18-33 is a method of the system taught by claims 1-16, respectively. Tran et al. teaches the limitations of claims 1-16 for the reasons stated above.

Claim 34 is a processing facility of the system taught by claim 1. Tran et al. teaches the limitations of claim 1 for the reasons stated above.

Claim 35 is a file processing system of the system taught by claim 1. Tran et al. teaches the limitations of claim 1 for the reasons stated above.

For claim 36, Tran et al. teaches:

A computer when programmed:

to receive image files containing an image of an event [receive image, column 5, lines 31-55];

to assign image files to one of a plurality of viewing lanes [display utilities, column 5, lines 31-55];

to display the plurality of viewing lanes, each viewing lane being displayed in a window and including the image or images associated with one or more image files assigned to that viewing lane [browser to display, column 5, lines 31-55]; to facilitate selection of an image from at least one of the plurality of viewing lanes and to display an enlarged view of the selected image [scanned images, column 7, lines 16-25];

to facilitate selection of a client [client transmit ready, column 7, lines 16-25]; and to send the image file associated with the selected image to the selected client [client transmission, column 7, lines 61-67 – column 8, lines 1-4].

For claim 37, Tran et al. teaches:

The computer of claim 35 when programmed to facilitate 25 editing of a selected image.

For claim 38, Tran et al. teaches:

The computer of claim 36, when programmed to facilitate creation of a MMS message.

For claim 39, Tran et al. teaches:

The computer of claim 36, when programmed to facilitate creation of a http slide show.

Claim 40 is a computer program of the computer taught by claim 36. Tran et al. teaches the limitations of claim 36 for the reasons stated above.

Claim 41 is a computer readable medium of the computer taught by claim 36.

Tran et al. teaches the limitations of claim 36 for the reasons stated above.

## Conclusion

The Examiner requests, in response to this Office action, that support be shown for language added to any original claims on amendment and any new claims. That is, indicate support for newly added claim language by specifically pointing to page(s) and line no(s) in the specification and/or drawing figure(s). This will assist the Examiner in prosecuting the application.

When responding to this Office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present, in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections See 37 CFR 1.111(c).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajith Jacob whose telephone number is 571-270-1763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 EST, Every other Friday off.

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

3/24/2009 /A.J./ Patent Examiner

/Apu M Mofiz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161